

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/721,116	11/25/2003	Axel Clausen	12816-102001 / S2654 GC/l	6149	
26161 7	590 03/29/2005		EXAM	INEŖ	
FISH & RICHARDSON PC 225 FRANKLIN ST			FLANAGAN	FLANAGAN, KRISTA M	
BOSTON, MA 02110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
, and the second			2631		
			DATE MAILED: 03/29/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		(NC)			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/721,116	CLAUSEN, AXEL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communic	Krista M. Flanagan	2631			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions o after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum state - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply w Any reply received by the Office later than three months aft earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r nication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of third utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON vill, by statute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>25 November 2003</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL .	a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
·	e under <i>Ex paπe Quayle</i> , 1935 G.D	0. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,14 and 17</u> is/are rejected 7) □ Claim(s) <u>4-13 and 16</u> is/are objected to 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	e withdrawn from consideration. d. to.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11/25/2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any object	ion to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority d 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority d 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation.	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in A f the priority documents have been	pplication No			
* See the attached detailed Office action		received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)			
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/25/2003. 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Art Unit: 2631

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Priority

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Germany on November 28, 2002. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the German application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Drawings

- 2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference characters "24" and "25" have both been used to designate the line between "21" and "23" and reference characters "12" and "25" have both been used to designate the line between "21" and "15". Also, the elements in figure 1 should be labeled. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the examiner does not accept the changes, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 3. Figure 4 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR

Art Unit: 2631

1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the examiner does not accept the changes, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Page 3

4. The drawings are objected to because Figure 3 has a non-English language label on the x-axis. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the examiner does not accept the changes, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

5. The attempt to incorporate subject matter into this application by reference to two papers on page 3, lines 7-11 and lines 13-14 is improper because copies of the papers were not provided in the information disclosure statement.

Application/Control Number: 10/721,116 Page 4

Art Unit: 2631

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claim 1, 2, 14, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 6,529,925 to Schenk.
- Regarding claim 1, Schenk discloses a method for reducing the crest factor of a multi-carrier signal, the method comprising: (a) evaluating an inverse Fourier transform of the multi-carrier signal, thereby generating transformed multi-carrier signal (See figure 3, block 4); (b) defining a signal-to-be-corrected to be the transformed multi-carrier signal (See figure 3, $y_1 y_N$ and column 4, lines 58-61); (c) generating a simulated output signal (See figure 3, block 20 and column 5, lines 8-13 where the simulated output could be seen as the outputs that are calculated from the minimum and maximum elements); (d) estimating a signal maximum of the simulated output signal (See figure 3, block 20 and column 5, lines 8-13); (e) deriving a first correction variable on the basis of the estimate (See column 5, lines 8-15); (f) correcting the signal-to-be-corrected using at least the first correction variable, thereby generating a corrected output signal having a reduced crest factor (See column 6, lines 1-20); (g) defining the corrected output signal to be the signal-to-be-corrected; and (h) iteratively repeating steps (c) through (g) until the occurrence of a condition selected from the group consisting of causing the corrected output signal to have a crest factor below a predetermined threshold, and performing a predetermined

Art Unit: 2631

Page 5

number of iterations (See column 8, lines 16-25 where it should be inherent to have iteration as disclosed by adding).

- 9. Regarding claim 2, which inherits all of the limitations of claim 1, Schenk discloses a method wherein generating a simulated output signal comprises filtering the signal-to-be-corrected (See column 5, lines 8-13 where the "filtering" is the calculating from the minimum and maximum elements).
- 10. Regarding claim 14, which inherits all of the limitations of claim 1, Schenk discloses a method further comprising passing the corrected output signal through a D/A converter (See figure 3, block 6).
- 11. Regarding claim 17, which inherits all of the limitations of claim 1, Schenk discloses a method wherein the multi-carrier signal is selected from the group consisting of a DMT signal and an OFDM signal (See column 1, lines 17-26).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 13. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6,529,925 to Schenk in further view of US Patent Application No 2002/0191705 to Melsa et al.
- 14. Regarding claim 3, which inherits all of the limitations of claim 1, Schenk discloses a method for reducing the crest factor. Schenk fails to disclose a method further comprising temporarily storing the corrected output signal for use in subsequent iteration step. However,

Art Unit: 2631

Melsa discloses a method for reducing the crest factor where there is a delay that holds the data until the next step (See figure 3, block 367). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the delay from Melsa's method in the method of Schenk to reduce the calculations.

Page 6

Allowable Subject Matter

- 15. Claims 4-13 and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 16. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

 There is no prior art that shows a simulations of a filtering and interpolating systems in a crest factor reduction method.

Conclusion

- 17. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US Patent No 6,757,299 to Verma discloses a peak power to average power ratio reduction in multi-carrier communications systems using error-correcting code.
- 18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Krista M. Flanagan whose telephone number is (571) 272-2203. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Friday, 8 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammad H. Ghayour can be reached on (571) 272-3021. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2631

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PRIMARY EXECUTIVER

kmf 20050311